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CHAIR VAN LEE: The meeting will come to order. The time is now 5:30 p.m. on Monday July 25, 2022. This is regular meeting of the District of Columbia Commission on the Arts and Humanities.

My name is Reggie Van Lee, Chairperson of the Commission, $I$ am an -- I can't talk today -- African American man wearing a blue shirt with white anchors on it, so I'm being a sailor today. I will now call the roll. When you hear your name, please answer present. Stacie Lee Banks?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Present.
CHAIR VAN LEE: Cora Masters Barry?
COMMISSIONER BARRY: Hello. I'm here.
CHAIR VAN LEE: Maggie Fitzpatrick?
COMMISSIONER FITZPATRICK: Present.
CHAIR VAN LEE: Quanice Floyd?
Natalie Hopkinson?
Kymber Menkit?
Maria Hall Rooney?

COMMISSIONER ROONEY: Present.
CHAIR VAN LEE: Carla Sims?
Hector Torres?
COMMISSIONER TORRES: Present.
CHAIR VAN LEE: Gretchen Wharton? COMMISSIONER WHARTON: Present.

CHAIR VAN LEE: And I am here as well.
A quorum is present. This meeting is being conducted remotely using the Cisco WebEx virtual meeting platform and is being livestreamed to the Commission's YouTube channel for public viewing. An electronic recording will be available for viewing online following the conclusion of the meeting.

This meeting is governed by the Open Meetings Act. Please address any questions or complaints arising under this meeting to the Office of Open Government at opengovoffice@dc.gov. I will now read the land acknowledgment.

Every community owes its existence and vitality to generations from around the world who
contributed to their hopes, dreams, and energy to making the history that led to this moment.

Some were brought here against their will, some were drawn to leave their distant homes in hope of a better life, and some have lived on this land for more generations than can be counted. Truth and acknowledgment are critical to building mutual respect and connection across all barriers of heritage and difference.

We begin this effort to acknowledge what has been buried by honoring the truth. We stand on the ancestral lands in the Nacotchtank and Piscataway people. We pay respects to the elders past and present.

Please take a moment to consider the many legacies of violence, displacement, migration, and settlement that bring us together here today and please join us in uncovering such truths at any and all public events and use such truths to guide the legacy of this arts commission.

The agenda for this meeting was previously circulated. If there are no objections to the agenda, it will stand as adopted. Is there any objection to the agenda? Hearing no objection, the agenda stands as adopted. Next is the approval of the June 26, 2022 meeting minutes.

Are there any corrections to the minutes? Hearing no corrections, the minutes stand as approved. I welcome everyone, thank you for being present. As I'm sure you know, our group is a little smaller than usual.

Several of our Members completed their terms on June 30th, Rhona, Ceci, Marianne, Jose, and Derek. Of the five, Rhona and Marianne had both been on the Commission for over 10 years. Jose for nine, Ceci for five and a half, and Derek for three.

They represent a diverse set of backgrounds and experiences and yet their lives all intersected with the arts, whether as practitioners or patrons or both. Marianne was
an art teacher in the New York City school system and later served as a special assistant to the first-ever Secretary of Education.

Organized in a nationwide student art exhibit for the inauguration of the new U.S. Department of Education. Rhona practiced as an attorney before going on to get a master's degree in the history of decorative art.

Like Marianne, she's been a passionate supporter of arts education, establishing and sharing a taskforce to create an arts education policy for the District. For over 20 years, Jose has served as a spokesperson and public affairs specialist for the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

He and his husband are practically a D.C. institution themselves as fixtures at almost every opening night of everybody in town with their dramatic fashion sense on full display. Ceci probably has the most solid arts background of any Commissioner, holding both bachelor's and master's degrees in art history and serving
formally as the Director of the James Renwick Alliance.

Derek was the first person the Washington Nationals hired when the team moved from Montreal to D.C., serving as their director of ticketing operations in roles similar to the one he holds now at the Kennedy Center.

Derek was Chief of Staff at OCTFME, regularly working closely with CAH and even serving as the Agency's interim director for several weeks.

So I just want to say to this unique group, thank you for your passion, your perspective, and your service, thank you very much, and I'm sure we all join in thanking them for their service on the Commission.

Now, I'd like to recognize Julianne Brienza, Founding Director of Capital Fringe, for public comments. Ms. Brienza?

MS. BRIENZA: Hello, I'm Julianne. I just wanted to come to this Committee and talk about my experience in doing the Capital Fringe

Festival that just closed last night.
In preparation for the festival and also getting my grant agreement from the Commission, our GOS grant agreement, in Section 2 Item $Q$, it read that all of our employees, agents, subcontractors who provide agreementrelated goods or perform services in the District of Columbia facilities work sites or have inperson contact with any other persons in order to complete their work under the contract or grant agreement have either been fully vaccinated against COVID-19 or be granted a grantee or contractor and one of the vaccination certificate exemptions.

So the mayoral order that this links to states that fully vaccinated is with a booster. So when $I$ got the grant agreement, $I$ did begin to collect all of our contractors, those who received 1099s, their vaccination cards, because $I$ thought we would have to submit them as part of our interim or final reports.

So when I did the applications for the
festival, the artists who are contractors, because they receive a 1099 for their payment for their performances in the festival, because they receive 70 percent of the ticket revenue, they attested in the applications that they were fully vaccinated with the definition that I got from my GOS grant agreement and from the mayoral order that it links to.

They also attested to this in their agreements that they signed a month after they had applied that on July 1st that was when they all had to upload their actual proof of vaccination and their ID.

And that's when I learned they were not all fully vaccinated and we actually only had eight productions in the festival that actually were fully vaccinated as tied to what's connected to our GOS grant. So of course I kind of freaked out and wasn't really sure how to deal with it.

So basically, in nine days I tried to get 1,000 tests in various ways, which did involve reaching out to the Commission and
getting to the Mayor's Office of Community Affairs, which connected me to the Ward 2 person, because we were in Ward 2, in Georgetown.

I will just be honest and say that person totally ghosted me and what I ended up doing was spending $\$ 6,000$ to purchase tests, set up a testing site, and I spent 75 hours testing and about -- it was $\$ 12,934$ that we spent on testing.

In talking with the Commission, our grant officer during this time, I did learn a couple things, too. One, I have a boardshare, not a boardshare, he's just a Board Member. He's one of our founding Board Members.

He also gets funds from the Commission and of course I contacted my board when this first came about and he then contacted, his grant officer about what is in our agreements, what do we actually have to do as grantees as a Commission about this.

And he was told by his grant officers that there was nothing at all in the GOS
agreement that states anything like this. So he of course reached out to me and told me I was reading it wrong or something and I'm like, here it is, screenshot, Section 2, Item Q.

In talking with David and Terrell, I was told that it's an honor system that's being used to do this and there was a grant update, I didn't get a grant update, I checked, I don't have a grant update that tells me it's an honor system and I'm just -- I'm here today to tell you all this because we did have positives in our testing sites, we did have canceled performances.

It was something that we had to mediate the whole time during the festival. And I wanted you guys as people who are probably looking at what the language is and the grant agreements that go out for the next fiscal year, just thinking about how this really does impact specifically the performing arts.

When I bought the tests I asked the company I bought them from who is the main -- who is your main customer and their response to me
was, theaters.
And so I'm just here today to tell you this information and hope that we can get to a place where we are actually checking people and also using proper language when we ask and have things that say full vaccination.

Because from my experience of taking in vaccination cards from 250 individuals that participated in the festival, it's not about, like, access or -- vaccinations are not necessarily tied to race or access, it really runs the full gamut of who is fully vaccinated and who is not.

And I think the more as an arts community we can just speak honestly about it, it will really help us move forward as we continue to deal with this in the years to come.

That's all I wanted to share today.
CHAIR VAN LEE: Thank you for bringing this issue to our attention because the Commission is both interested in the wealth and well-being of everyone that's connected with us
and we're certainly going to make sure that our policies, our grants, our language is clear and precise and adhered to.

So thank you for bringing that to us and I promise you we will get to the bottom of this issue and make sure that we address it going forward.

MS. BRIENZA: All right, I'm going to tap out of this meeting, but I will say I do watch them on YouTube, so I'll watch the rest on YouTube. Thanks, everybody, and have a great rest of your summer.

CHAIR VAN LEE: Thank you. Thank you very much, same to you. The Chair now recognizes Will Murray for public comments. Mr. Murray?

MR. MURRAY: Hi. Good evening, everybody, can everybody hear me?

CHAIR VAN LEE: Yes.
MR. MURRAY: I'm getting some thumbs up. And I think we were going to put together a quick PowerPoint presentation as well, Patrick.

MR. REALIZA: One moment.

MR. MURRAY: Excellent. Pat, am I good to dive in?

MR. REALIZA: Yes.
MR. MURRAY: Sounds great. Okay, good evening, everyone. I've never presented here before. I'm very grateful to take a couple minutes of your time today to talk to you in pursuit of putting together a really cool music festival.

I've been into this for a couple years and I think this could definitely align with the Commission's goals and I kind of serendipitously ran into Pat about a year ago and started talking about this and he said, hey, maybe there will be something really cool here.

So that's kind of what brings me to the meeting tonight. To give some quick background and just to give you a sense of the kind of thing $I$ can do and to see how we can tie it into your, kind of, strategic priorities here, I wanted to show a couple photos.

And specifically the ask I'm hoping
for tonight is any kind of connections into the D.C. underground music and arts scene.

That's really what I'm hoping I could get your advice and mentorship on out of this meeting but to kind of give you an idea of what I'm hoping to do in collaboration with you guys, I wanted to just give you a quick sense of my background.

If you can direct your attention to the photo here, this kind of all started for me when I was in college and I wound up in this wild music venue where Pete Buttigieg played the piano. So I was going to college at Notre Dame at the time, and I don't know if you guys can see in the back there on the ladder, that's Pete Buttigieg.

He just happened to be the Mayor at the time while I was going to Notre Dame, current Secretary of Transportation, and I just got to know him. And this was this amazing indoor swimming pool music venue that had nationally touring acts and just incredibly high-quality
music.
If you can go to the next slide, Pat. You can see just this beautiful ambience, this amazing community vibe. Next slide. This is the deep end of this pool, you can climb up a ladder to get to my room, it was kind of a couplebedroom apartment there where I lived with a couple other folks and we operated this as a community space.

Next slide. This is a kind of a wide view and you can see you can fit about 150 people into this space. So just in case anybody was concerned, definitely not looking to do another music show in somebody's apartment right now, although that scene is interesting, I know that's not -- probably not something as big with you.

But the other cool thing that spun out of this is I got to direct a big music festival and this is where my music festival background comes into play. So you may have heard of the big festival South by Southwest, anybody, any nods?

I got a couple nods, awesome. So we decided to mix things up and we called our festival South by South Bend, because we're in South Bend, Indiana. We held onto that title until South by Southwest threatened to sue us so we had to change the name after that. But it was this amazing festival.

I never knew I could do anything like that and if you go to the next slide, Pat. So here are a couple photos. We had all genres, scoping this very wide genre and bringing together a lot of different elements and groups of the community. We launched this festival, me and a bunch of college students, during our final exam week.

It was a totally crazy story. Next slide. We got 1,200 people in total to show up to this festival, just an amazing community event. Next slide. Actually, you can't see too many people in this photo, but this is a band called Ratboys that's now a nationally touring band.

If anybody's heard of Julian Baker, they did a European tour with her, just got back from that. They're my good roommates, lived with me in the swimming pool. Next slide. Just giving you a feel for some of the different parts of the show.

This is actually another indoor swimming pool that we turned into a music venue. Next slide. What are the odds, right? There's me, having fun, speaking out out there, I just love doing this kind of creative stuff and having that real passion for it. Next slide.

There's Mayor Pete again supporting us. And I was just told that my camera is off. Hey, this is who was talking to you guys, sorry about that. Very cool, very good demonstration of the civic tie-in here of a music festival to kind of bring in the political and it helped bring that strong community.

So that's what I specialize in, I love doing that stuff and since $I$ moved back to the D.C., Virginia area, I've started plugging in,
but I need help. So really, right now I'm coming to you guys, telling you a little bit about what I can do, letting you know that I am on it, I am working on this.

But I definitely don't know everything that I'm getting into and would love any help and advice.

All genres, any kinds of folks that are connected into the underground music and arts scene, I would love to talk to you offline, schedule a time for a Skype or a video call or a coffee or something like that and see where we can get this going and hopefully accomplish some common goals.

So I think that's about it and I think the last slide $I$ had there at the end is just some contact info, so I can get that to guy separately through that. But I'm happy to take any questions or any advice that comes to mind.

CHAIR VAN LEE: This sounds great, it's really intriguing to me and what I'm going to suggest is that we get back to you and perhaps

David can take the lead in that, our Deputy Director, in the absence of our Deputy Director. I was echoing, sorry.

We will get back to you on this but I find this really interesting and something we should think through.

COMMISSIONER TORRES: If I may suggest, perhaps you may want to contact the Dupont Underground, they have a venue that is very much appropriate for this kind of festival -- music festival. So Anna Harvey is the executive director, you may want to touch base with her on availability, et cetera.

This would not be on a permanent basis, it would be just festival to festival.

CHAIR VAN LEE: Very good suggestion, thank you, Hector. And thank you again, Mr. Murray, thank you so much.

MR. MURRAY: Yes, sir. Thank you.
CHAIR VAN LEE: Before we proceed, I'd like to make note that a number of our other Commissioners have joined the call since the roll
call. Quanice is here, Natalie is here, and Carla is here.

Of the 11 of us on the Commission 10 of us are here. Kymber sent a note earlier that she could not join us today. 10 of 11 , I want to thank everyone for your attendance. At our June meeting glass sculpture template, it was an overview of his work, which he calls queer glass.

Today we're joined by one of his colleagues from the Washington Glass School, Michael Janis. As a child of a Chinese, Filipino immigrant and grandson of Greek and German immigrants, the family history's struggle to assimilate has been a perpetual source of Michael Janis's narrative work that deals with understanding identity.

After a 20-year career as an architect, the United States, and Australia, Janis returned to the U.S. with a focus on working with glass. In 2005, Janis became the Co-Director of the Washington Glass School and in 2016 he was recognized by Mayor Bowser with the

Mayor's Art Award for Excellence in the Arts.
The Commission has awarded multiple grants to Michael over the years and we are proud to have several of his artworks in our art bank collection. Please join me in welcoming Michael Janis.

MR. JANIS: Thank you. Thank you very much, it's a pleasure to be here. Thanks, Reggie, and I want to thank all the Commissioners, everyone there has been a great support and I do have a PowerPoint so Patrick, if you're able to put that on I'd appreciate that. We'll see how that goes. Let's get that running.

MR. REALIZA: I need to assign you control so one moment. I think you have control now.

MR. JANIS: Let's see if it works. Not yet. You can see a little bit of my background, I grew up in the Midwest and I always wanted to be an artist, but my parents said, oh, that's not going to happen.

So I started studying architecture and I became an architect in Chicago, and I was an architect there for 10 years and I got recruited to Australia, where I was an architect there for 10 years.

After 9/11, my wife, who was an architect but had left the field, had said she wanted to work for the U.S. Government, so we came out to D.C. and I also had become a glass artist in the meantime. I started studying at different places and one of the places I started studying at was the Washington Glass School. At the Washington Glass School, we do a lot about connecting with people, usually in their next career as they retire and we teach them about using glass as a medium for artistic expression and try and incorporate people who are from different medias to start using it as an element within their artwork.

We also work with a number of other artists and have a studio space that they can be part of and have a way of sharing resources. A
number of artists in the area also use this as a resource to make components for their art work. Chris Shay makes components and this art work you see there is actually part of the Smithsonian Runway collection.

The glass studio also does a lot of public art so we're able to create large-scale artworks for the outdoor environment and one of the projects we worked with was for Ward 7, for the new healthcare center that came out. And the community gateway arts, we had worked with the community to make the glass components that went into the artwork.

So we introduced the community to a medium they normally didn't have access to and we had a series of workshops that allowed them to create it and make the artwork that was from the area, rather than something that was imposed onto the area.

That's unexpected. I think I got cut out, can you hear me?

CHAIR VAN LEE: We can still hear you.

MR. JANIS: Somehow I got knocked out by that.

CHAIR VAN LEE: We can hear you and we can see you.

MR. JANIS: I'm trying to find where you guys are.

CHAIR VAN LEE: Community glassmaking.
MR. JANIS: Got it again. This is the sculpture that we had done so we made it where it was lit at night and so at daytime and nighttime it presents well. We had done some other artwork pieces around town including in Arlington and we had also done the glass doors for the Library of Congress.

And those glass doors were of wonderful historical significance and it was even kind of nice that the National Guard protected the sculpture after the January 6th event. I'm going to give you a little bit of information about my drawing technique.

I actually used crushed glass powder to make the imagery, so all the images that are
in my stuff is actually made out of frit powder or just powder that's pushed around and melted onto the sheets of glass. I also, a lot of times, use images where I'm telling a narrative about my family and the history and identity.

I've worked on a lot of pieces that involves using the cast class dimensionally so some pieces have a little bit more of a shape and shadow and the images have a lot of depth to it. I'm going to just take you through what goes through a drawing. So I'm using powders to just keep on layering up and every firing builds just a little bit more color and a little bit of depth to it.

> But it's a very labor-intensive process because you have the fire each time to get the colors to mature. So each of these images, you can see how the maturation of the colors and the depth of it goes to make the art work. It's a very slow process, it's tedious, and that's -- being the architect, I know everything about being very detail-oriented.

And this piece $I$ believe the D.C. Commission has in their collection. I've been able to start using abstraction of a more traditional portraiture and so I'm using colors and a lot more deconstruction for the images.

And I've worked on larger-scale pieces where now they're a much greater size than what our kilns can produce to still have an installation feel to the works. I've also started getting commissions for public art in hotels and places like that where they've commissioned to make large works.

This is what was, in the Dupont Circle, was the Kimpton Hotel but it's been renamed. Now, if you remember the newspaper, The Onion, they did describe that art work as the fucking creepiest windows ever so it did get some press.

I've worked with a lot of D.C. governments, we had made art work for Mayor Williams to take when he went on a tour of Africa. We made the art work that represented

Washington D.C.
I was there when Mayor Gray had signed the friendship agreement with the Sister City of Sunderland City Council and that had allowed me to become a Fulbright Scholar because you have to have the University of Sunderland be tying into it. So both Tim and I became Fulbright Scholars because of that support.

Mayor Bowser had awarded me the Mayor's Arts Award for Visual Arts and it's just something that $I$ really love on the Commission of Arts and Humanities, it really has supported me for so long and allowed for so much growth, from the Artomatics they supported to other versions of Artomatic where we brought over international artists for an exhibition in support of the Commission.

We had gone international with clay, so we started broadening what our mediums are and what the interaction was. Any anything I can do with a D.C. Commission, any events that they show, I want to be part of it. That is such an
important part for my growth and that is something I want to really kind of pay forward.

Within the arts scene, when you start saying the support has allowed me start getting press to let me be covered in the Washington Post, to be shown at the Smithsonian, to have things where the Philips Collection had my work has part of their show.

And then I try and be part of it by saying I'm going to be giving talks at the public library and this has helped me become a stronger artist where I'm showing at other cities or on the town, so in Pittsburgh, in Detroit, and always they're saying, this is a Washington D.C. thing, that D.C. glass art is actually changing the scenarios.

The Museum of Glass had acquired art work from Tim Irwin, who's another director here, and myself for their permanent collection. And then we started showing as a gallery for the art shows during Miami, so WGS Contemporary is taking artists from the D.C. area to show them at the
international art fairs.
And most recently, Tim and I had collaborated to make art work that represented the U.S.A. at the Venice Biennale. There's a sister event called Glasstress where they're bringing glass with world artists such as Ai Weiwei to say that this is how you can use glass as an expressive sculptural medium.

And we had met with their directors in
D.C. to talk about what we could do with them and Tim had shown there previously but we want to make an impressive large-scale piece. So we had done a series of designs that we actually early on started focusing global warming.

We also involved Chris Shay, who is a metal artist, and we just started developing it's a just over six feet wide by almost eight feet tall piece that was going to be representing a story about climate change and the ability that people have to ignore the warning signs of it.

So we had done castings of glass, these are huge-scale castings, we actually had
made the molds and the glass was cast in Merano and then assembled there on site. But you can see a lot of Tim Tate's imagery in the glass and then my imagery.

We had to ship off the components to go to Italy there in time. Chris Shay's bronze work was part of it and then it was just part of the Venice Biennale, hoisting it into the space, and it's on exhibit now until November, so it has gotten a fair bit of attention.

You can see the scale of that piece, it's pretty impressive the scale of it. It's certainly a heavy piece, and it got a lot of attention for the show because of the size and the international press had focused in on it where they talked about climate change.

And then just a little note about -also recently I've been named one of the fine lists for a contemporary craft prize, which is in glass and it was focusing on transformation. And this is a prize that they give out by contemporary craft and it's a biannual award.

So hopefully, fingers crossed in September they'll be making the announcement as to who the winners are. And again, all of this is possible by the support that $I$ get from the D.C. Commission and that's why I'm saying anytime the D.C. Commission wants me to do something I'm there to lend a hand and be part of it.

That's the end of my presentation.
CHAIR VAN LEE: Thank you, it's always very interesting for us to know the journey of our artists and the journey of an artist, so thank you for that context and of course for us to understand the full extent of the impact that our grantees are having and the work you're doing.

So we thank you very much for that. Thank you. I will now ask David to give a brief few words on the Executive Director report. Heron is a little under the weather and could not join us today. Her report was submitted so you can still read the report. David, do you want to give us sort of the Cliff Notes version?

MR. MARKEY: Of course, I'm happy to, hello, everyone.

COMMISSIONER WHARTON: I'm sorry, Reggie, I thought we had another public comment.

CHAIR VAN LEE: I didn't see it on the list. I'm sorry, do we?

MS. FRIEDMAN: Yes.
CHAIR VAN LEE: Who said yes?
MS. FRIEDMAN: Rhona.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIR VAN LEE: Sorry about that, I was trying to follow the rules, I was told to stick to my script but if Rhona would like to make a comment, we'll let you do that. David, you can hold for a minute. Rhona?

COMMISSIONER WHARTON: You're muted, Rhona.

MS. FRIEDMAN: Hi, sorry, I just got a note from Patrick that it was approved, so sorry, Reggie, to butt in that you didn't know, but first of all, I'm Rhona Friedman, I'm a White woman with blond hair and a former Commissioner
as of a few weeks ago.
I decided after 15 years as a
Commissioner it was time for others to serve on the Commission and bring new viewpoints. It's really been a privilege to serve with all of you and with the staff for so many years.

And since the appointment of Reggie Van Lee as Chair and through his leadership on the taskforce, I've really seen a lot of growth on the Commission regarding equity and access, as well as in the grant-giving policies, procedures we follow and in communications with the public.

And I'm sure this will continue with Reggie and I look forward to following the Commission's progress with great interest as the year goes by. And before I go, I just want to congratulate our Chair, Reggie Van Lee, on his newest endeavor, an appointment to the Nominating Committee of the Tonys for a three-year term.

So that is really impressive and I just didn't want to miss saying that. So thank you and I will now stop my video and go to you.

CHAIR VAN LEE: Thank you, Rhona, for your many, many years of service to the Commission and some of us were able to salute you and toast you some time ago so thank you for that. Thank you for the very kind and constructive comments today.

We will miss you terribly on the Commission but we'd love to have you still come to the meetings, send us notes, Council meetings you have in this past year, and thank you so much and thank you for the congrats on the Tony thing.

I think it's a double-edged sword because now I have to attend every Tony-eligible production whether I want to see it or not or whether I'm going to like it or not, $I$ have to see it.

> I'm not allowed to offer my
perspective to anybody so I can't talk about the things that I saw but if any of you are passing through New York at a time when $I$ have that extra ticket, you're welcome to join me. But thank you. Thank you again.

COMMISSIONER FITZPATRICK: Chairman
Van Lee, I just wanted to acknowledge Commissioner Friedman for all of her work particularly recently on the bylaws, which were really quite important to our work as a body, but also just on a personal note, when I joined the Commission, Rhona extended a hand to me and offered to be helpful and helped me to get onboarded onto the Commission.

And I'm quite grateful for that and I just wanted to express my appreciation, Rhona, for all that you did for all of us.

MS. FRIEDMAN: Thank you, Maggie.
COMMISSIONER WHARTON: I'd like to add also that Rhona finished the handbook for new Commissioners so as we start to bring in new Commissioners, we actually have a handbook thanks to Rhona.

COMMISSIONER TORRES: That's correct.
CHAIR VAN LEE: Thank you. Applause all around. Now, David.

MR. MARKEY: Hello, again, I'm David

Markey, I'm the Deputy Director here at the Arts Commission. I'm a middle-aged White man with short gray hair, a bit of a beard and a checked shirt. As Reggie mentioned, you've all received our Executive Director's report from Aaron so I'm just going to touch on some pieces of that.

Since we last met, we have pushed out almost \$7 million in enhancement grants to 144 organizations that received general operating support from us. In years past we have pushed that enhancement award part-way through the year but this year the amounts have been pretty significant.

We've also launched the capacitybuilding initiative. We have 88 organizations, I believe, participating in that and they're grouped into communities of practice, looking at various capacity-building areas over the next couple of months.

And then we're almost ready to launch or announce awards for the relief and recovery program for both individuals and organizations.

We had about just under 350 individual applicants and about 30 organizational applicants and so we're getting ready to announce those awards tomorrow but we're participating funding an average of 90 percent of those awardees.

I will leave it there.
CHAIR VAN LEE: Thank you, all good news, and thanks to you and the staff for all the work it takes to get those grants out and all the work the Commission does on a daily basis. So thank you to everyone, 1 really appreciate it. As I said, Kymber could not join us today and she sent a note to that effect.

Brian, I think you're going to give the Treasurer's report, the finance report?

MR. ALLENDE: Good evening, everyone, yes, that's correct, thank you, Reggie. Good evening, everyone, my name is Brian Allende, I'm the resource allocation officer. I am coming tonight in a polo shirt that's orange with black and white stripes.

I am an Afro-Latino male and good
news, good news, good news. The reports, and Patrick if you can you please pull up the reports for me? I greatly appreciate it, the first report is going to be the budget balance report. Yes, sir. Can we make that larger, please?

And so what $I$ would like to report at this time is basically showing the budget is $\$ 49$ million, as we stated last time. All of the funding that we had planned to load is loaded. As David just alluded to, we are in the process -- the Agency is in the process of sending out the notifications to the grantees and what will happen afterwards will be the execution of the grant agreements between the grantee and the director, and then we can get out the purchase orders.

At this point, it does appear that most, if not all of the enhancement money is still not obligated, and like I said, it goes through the first, it has to be -- the agreements have to be agreed upon between the grantee and the Agency. So what this report reflects is
basically where we stand in terms of the balances that are available as well as the amounts that are encumbered.

I had an extensive conversation with Reggie and Kymber, they're in our finance Committee meeting with regards to where we were fiscally in terms of grant agreements, how many were executed versus how many were still to be executed.

And we're in pretty good shape and we showed, as we explained to Reggie and Kymber the amount of grant agreements that have no activity on them, meaning the grantee has yet to submit an invoice for is less than the two percent of the total amount awarded.

To date, we have 959 or 958 grant awards. I'm going to stop -- I'm going to pause for a second to see if there's any questions, comments, or concerns.

CHAIR VAN LEE: I don't have a question but I would just like to punctuate couple of things you said. We are working with a
\$49 million budget for this year. That is phenomenal.

Now, because some of that was money that came in from collected taxes and stuff, we won't necessarily have $\$ 49$ million again so I don't want to you to manage your expectations around us having that.

But we can applaud the fact that this year we were able to help so many organizations and so many artists in a way we haven't in the past because this is the largest budget we've had ever. The other point you made is that we are doing everything we can to get those funds out to the grantees in a timely fashion.

Getting an award is one thing, getting money in the bank is more important. And we did a real analysis of where we are against that and to Brian's point, less than two percent, that's like 10 or 12 of the grantees are still in their delayed payment and there are reasons behind that, et cetera.

But our goal is to make that number
zero, even a small number like two percent is not satisfactory for us. We want to make sure everybody gets paid in a timely fashion but there are all sorts of reasons that cause things to happen. We don't have a double-digit problem, we have a single-digit and a small problem, but it is still a problem and our intention is to fix it.

I just wanted to make those two points, Brian.

MR. ALLENDE: Thank you. And yes, so please, I say this every meeting and will probably continue to say it throughout, if you have not received your payments or if there's any issues with your invoices please take the time to reach out to your grants management specialist. If you cannot reach them, please go to our website.

There are the email addresses for everyone, for the managers, we'd be happy to direct the calls or the inquiries to the appropriate people. But we have to really begin
the close-out phase. The District is winding down its fiscal year operations for Fiscal Year 2022 and we're about to ramp up for 23.

So to the extent possible, please get your invoices in as quickly as possible. If you have prerequisites by way of interim reports, please also get those in as soon as possible.

The next page, Patrick, if you could switch to the next page, it's basically a synopsis of where we are by program in terms of the number of grant awards or grant purchase orders that have been established.

At this point, the largest number that is outstanding is the five relief grants, and as you all may know, those are the agreements that were executed in May and so what happens is based on the response from the grantees, how quality they get the information back to us and complete the prerequisite paperwork, the actual purchase orders can be established.

So we're in the process now of
establishing those. We have 30 in the pipeline
of the 112 and when I say in the pipeline, meaning the requisitions have been entered. There are more that are actually going through the approval process now and my team is entering. So we're doing pretty good, but I would like to see this number as close to zero as possible, especially with the anticipated enhancements that Mr. Markey just spoke about. So again, I ask, please, if we can help in any way reach out to your contact person here at CAH but we need to get those grant agreements in as soon as possible and we'd like to pay out as quickly as possible and not accrue any invoices to the extent possible.

That concludes what I have to say unless there's any questions or comments.

CHAIR VAN LEE: I would like to give the Commissioners a chance to ask questions or comments. We've not historically had this sort of visibility and engagement on the numbers. Kymber and the Finance Committee worked very hard to pull out the important stuff and share it in
this sort of way.
But I don't want to run past this because it's important, and I'm going to do the Reggie thing, of course, and go through the list and ask the question. Stacie, does this make sense to you, are you good with where we are from a finance standpoint?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Yes, it sounds really good. I'm in the car so I'm not on camera right now.

CHAIR VAN LEE: No problem, that's fine. Cora?

COMMISSIONER BARRY: Yes, it sounds great but still I'm learning and I have a question.

We have a lot of money and I know we are reimagining how we're doing things, which is great, but I'm wondering if also some of our existing programs, which I'm sure we'll get around to reviewing at some point that we're doing, are we looking at that to put more money in?

And I'm specifically thinking about Art All Night. There was a budget level for their last year. Has the level of support for these events gone up this year? And if they have by how much? And what is the formula to determine who gets how much?

Do you look at it in terms of the percentage of the money that they have in their budgets? What happens to that? Because that's a month away, it's going to be in September and I'm really happy about that but it's a great event, at least you were there last year, Brian. Ward 8 was off the chain, almost a mile of stuff.

How do you reward and support and encourage that? With the money we have, I think this would be an opportunity to feed into that kind of process. That's to you, Brian.

MR. ALLENDE: Yes, ma'am. Thank you for that question, Mrs. Barry, that is a great question and being the first time out there to see what it was all about nationally and actively participating, I totally agree with you. That
question is really pointed towards Heron.
Traditionally, what we have done is we've allotted somewhere between \$125,000, $\$ 150,000$ each year but what we also do, and I understand, what we also do is we look at what we have left over towards the end of the year and we review any subsequent requests for additional funding from DSLBD.

What I must also point out is that 95 percent -- 90 or 95 percent of the funding for this event comes from CAH, there is not much funding coming from other organizations or other agencies. I have encouraged Director Whitfield and her team to actively pursue maybe some other partnerships within the government.

But yes, to your question, Mrs. Barry, I do believe that's a question that we should pose or that $I$ would ask Heron in terms of our support to that particular event. I do see that David has his hand up so I'll pass it to David. CHAIR VAN LEE: I was going to ask if David perhaps had something to say on this.

MR. MARKEY: Thanks, just to add to what Brian said, last year we funded the event to the tune of $\$ 150,000$ and so the commitment this year was $\$ 200,000$ so it was a 33 percent increase on last year.

CHAIR VAN LEE: My hope was since our overall budget increased, there would be an increase there. But I would like to take this point offline with Heron and the Finance Committee, Brian, David, et cetera, to really think about are we doing all that we can?

I know it's short order because it's just a month from now but if we can make some impact there we should. If I may just add -Gretchen, go ahead, please I'm sure you have a good comment for this one.

COMMISSIONER WHARTON: Trust me, having worked with Art All Night since it began, there's so much more that could be done. Cora, thank you so much for your position on that and for raising that to everyone's awareness. There is so much more that could be done, there are so
many more artists that could participate.
We need more money for that particular event and I can speak from someone who has been involved with it since the very beginning. And it's city-wide now, it's totally city-wide so we would appreciate that kind of thing coming from an Art All Night standpoint.

CHAIR VAN LEE: I commit to us looking at this carefully.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIR VAN LEE: I'm going down my list now. Maggie, comments on Finance Committee and the conversation we've had around finance?

COMMISSIONER FITZPATRICK: Just two questions, Chairman Van Lee. One, it seems as if in our last discussion we talked about being a bit surprised by some surplus budget dollars. Do you feel like we're in a better position now to anticipate any surpluses and do adequate planning?

CHAIR VAN LEE: I would defer to Brian on that one as well.

MR. ALLENDE: In the spirit of what the conversations were, Commissioner Fitzpatrick, I would say there's a lot of work that we could still do. I don't know that we have the dialog that's necessary that Reggie anticipated or that I understand from Reggie.

Reggie's charge has always been that we stand ready that should a trough of money become available, we have an idea to match that trough of money to do something with. I don't know for certain, I have not seen where we identified a circumstance where we've had an initiative on standby that we've initiated or acted upon.

So I would say that we still have some work to do.

COMMISSIONER FITZPATRICK: Just a follow-on, it's really building on Commissioner Barry's question, which is she and others have raised programs that the Commission feels are worthy of funding.

So the second part of my question is
how can we be very diligent in making sure that we understand the critical need that's out there, we can anticipate that need and use the surplus funding accordingly? I just feel like we need to operationalize that better, it sounds like, based on what you're saying, Brian.

CHAIR VAN LEE: I have two responses, if I may, Brian, the first is the categories of spend to some extent are dictated by the legislation. So certain percentages have to go to certain categories and so we're bound to that.

But the bigger point that Brian was making, I requested that each of the Committees create their wishlist of how we'd like to spend money. And so if money comes in at the last minute, we've already pre-thought how we'd like to spend the money and how we'd like to prioritize it.

Because we can't tell a priori if extra tax money or uncollected tax money comes in, we can't tell that. We know the categories, we don't know the amounts. So to at least cover
part of your question, $I$ think we need to create that prioritized wish list so when the money comes we have it.

But by having ongoing transparency around what money does come in and the categories it can fall into, we can turn on a dime.

I don't think that and I can't speak directly because I wasn't here but I don't think that's transparency existed as much in the past and so people just weren't aware of the categories, they didn't understand where the money was coming from, et cetera.

So we've cleaned up some of that but some of it we're at the mercy of the way the dollars have to be spent percentage-wise and what actually comes in and when it comes in. Brian, if I misspoke on something, please correct me. MR. ALLENDE: No, you haven't misspoken on anything. I just think that the way the Committees have been set up now, I think we're in a good position to have those ideas and those things, those initiatives, bubble up to
possibly the Executive Committee.
And I think that's probably the best place I would recommend that discussion be really take flight with Heron and David, at the Executive Committee where you guys can really say exactly what it is that you need.

I'll stop there because it's still ultimately the decision between the Executive Committee and the CAH leadership.

CHAIR VAN LEE: Maggie, did we adequately address your question?

COMMISSIONER FITZPATRICK: Yes, Chair Van Lee, thank you.

CHAIR VAN LEE: Back to us, the Executive Committee. Going down my list, Quanice, did you have any comments or questions about the finance report?

COMMISSIONER FLOYD: No, I just wanted to say I think it's really great that we have the highest budget ever but a quick question, David, how many people do you have on staff?

MR. MARKEY: We have just under 40 and
that includes a couple of contractors and a couple of part-time folks.

COMMISSIONER FLOYD: And how many are grants managers?

MR. MARKEY: We have probably got about 14 grant managers and we are adding three more.

COMMISSIONER FLOYD: I just wanted us to be mindful. Although we are getting more money, we also have to be mindful of capacity and making sure we're bringing on additional staff that could handle that capacity.

Because if we are burning staff out then we're not creating great impacts for the community because things will go out a lot slower and there would be issues.

So we just want to make sure there's opportunity to expand especially the grants team so that if there's more money we can bring on more folks and make sure that community members can get their money in fast process.

CHAIR VAN LEE: Great, thank you.

I'll make a note, I'm going to summarize when we finish, and sorry to belabor this, but historically we've not really delved into the finances in the way I think we should have. And so I've observed finance committees that make their report and we move on to the next thing. I'm not that person. I want the Commissioners engaged in this and responsible and understanding. I'll continue down my list. Natalie?

COMMISSIONER HOPKINSON: Yes, so my question also is that $I$ know we did enhancements for organizations. I wonder if we also could do enhancements or at least increase the grant amount for the individual artist grants?

I'm just trying to think about if we had excess money. To me, it seems like one of the most pressing issues we have, the state of our artists in the city and their ability to survive and make art here.

And so have we thought about enhancing their stipend? Because $I$ think what is it,
\$10,000 for the individual artist and have we thought about increasing that as one of the ways to spend the extra money?

MR. MARKEY: So Natalie, this is David, again. This year we increased the ceiling use to be $\$ 10,000$ and this year we raised the ceiling to $\$ 12,500$. The fellowship grant, the arts and humanities fellowship program grant is one that we've increased steadily in the last a couple of years.

It's now almost $\$ 3$ million and we have the relief grant that we're hoping to announce tomorrow.

We have just over 350 individual applicants and at the moment, about 305 of them are yeses, which is great, and of course, we're still trying to make sure that we have everything from applicants, so we can get as many of them across the finish line as possible.

So we are very aware of the need for individual artists and our desire to keep them as residents of the District.

COMMISSIONER HOPKINSON: I just think that might be something just to think about as a rule, increasing the amount even more than \$12,500.

And then the other thing I was thinking about, $I$ like the idea of participating because from what I understand, people who are on the Commission, this is just a thing, there's always extra money and then there's a decision.

So I like the idea of thinking about it ahead of time and one idea that $I$ want to throw out there is Reggie had a panel weeks ago where we talked about exploring other ways to support artists like health insurance and capacity- building and giving them individual help, like capacity as individual artists too.

And so I'm thinking that could be also something that we think about and then much like with the D.C. Government, with the Council as a whole, when they have extra money, they have an automatic policy that says it goes into the housing trust.

So maybe as a Commission we could think about what are the highest needs? And maybe there is some sort of default where there's some funds that we are continually supporting with extra money.

MR. ALLENDE: I agree. If I could just make a suggestion as well?

We have to look at a possible mechanism of how we can change the agreement itself maybe to incorporate that if the Agency gets money over and above a certain amount, it can automatically trigger some level of ink fees without having to go through the entire process.

I don't know that's possible but we need to figure out something that does that because as Quanice just said, that's the lion's share of the issues that we face, going through an RFA process, having the grantees complete the applications, and the subsequent documentation has to be filled out, it's quite cumbersome.

So, it might be something that we need to be a little bit more innovative about maybe or
look to the City Administrator's Office or somewhere to find out how we can do that if that's possible.

CHAIR VAN LEE: I'm going to move us along because I don't want to belabor it too long but thank you, Natalie. Mariah?

COMMISSIONER ROONEY: I don't have any questions for Brian. I'm thrilled about the amount that we're able to give through our grants, that's it.

CHAIR VAN LEE: Carla?
COMMISSIONER SIMS: I think Natalie touched on some of what I wanted to raise or ask about.

In addition to workshops that help the artist around healthcare, we also need to look at retirement workshops so that maybe they can take a percentage of some of these grants and start to open up retirement programs for themselves so they can be more sustainable long after or as they do their work down the road.

The other question that I had was what
is the anticipated increase or decrease in 2023?
CHAIR VAN LEE: We have the budget for 2023, right?

MR. ALLENDE: Yes, sir.
If you do a comparison now it would be a decrease and the reason would be because if you looked at the current budget, we've infused an additional $\$ 13$ million but if you compared apples to apples, meaning you compare how we started Fiscal Year 2022 and compared it to Fiscal Year 2023, then it looks like we have a 9 percent increase.

So, we do this dance, for lack of a better word, where we look at what additional funding we get. There is three different revenue streams and we can talk a little bit more about that in the Finance Committee.

But anytime we get additional funding, Reggie's charge has been for us to identify how we can utilize it. So, we try to get that into the budget as soon as possible.

CHAIR VAN LEE: Thank you. Does that
answer your question? Good. Hector?
COMMISSIONER TORRES: I guess soon I'll be discussing with regards to an update on DEMPED but I agree fully with what Natalie Hopskinson has mentioned, that we need to support the artists in different ways in a very direct way.

And one of the issues that we will be addressing is issues of not financial literacy but housing and things of that nature.

So, let me just hold off until I get the report so we can talk about that. But, yes, good point, Natalie.

CHAIR VAN LEE: I was going to say we're going to cover one of those points when you speak. Gretchen?

COMMISSIONER WHARTON: Nothing to add.
CHAIR VAN LEE: If I'll attempt a summary, as far as budget allocations and increases in budget, we're going to make sure that where we have opportunities to have yet more impact in items like Art All Night, in items like
extra funding to individual artists.
And not only money to artists as relief like we're doing now, but housing, which Hector is going to talk about, healthcare, retirement, that we are thoughtful about really addressing the needs of our community, arts organizations, and artists, and not just sticking to the formula, or sticking to the way we've done it in the past.

Second, the whole notion of being as prepared as possible and anticipating as much as possible when we're getting extra funds, either through the wish list that we're going to talk about that the Committee Chair as the executive committee will pull together or other mechanisms so that we aren't surprised and then running around trying to figure out how to spend money when we get extra money, we should anticipate that.

And then certainly the point about the Staff size, the Staff capacity, and the coverage of the Staff so that as we increase budgets and
as we do more, we're aligning the Staff with that as well.

The Staff doesn't need to increase at the same proportion that the budget does but to have the same Staff to do much more work without some sort of relief or addition doesn't make sense either.

So, at a minimum, those are the things coming out of this discussion that I'll make sure we push forward in our agenda going forward. Did I miss anything?

MR. ALLENDE: One last thing, I think that we really need to take a really in-depth look at technology because technology has to play a part in this too.

The more we can get to utilize and do a lot of the analysis and things of that nature or maybe having a system that's robust enough to have the grantee submit the interim reports, and it does some sort of algorithm to view it, to make sure that everything is there.

It doesn't have the part where the

Staff has to actually look at everything. And maybe that will help us free up some people and get funding through the system faster.

CHAIR VAN LEE: Exactly. Exactly.
Good. Thank you for that discussion, I thought it was important that we dig into the financials and what that means for what we're doing going forward. Let's go to the Grants Committee report. Gretchen?

COMMISSIONER WHARTON: Hello,
everyone, I'm Gretchen Wharton, I'm a Black female, platinum hair, black glasses and a black dress today. Who's manning the slides? We're going to talk about GOS, general operating support grant, and this will be a grant that will require the Commission to vote on.

The Commissioners have already received this document a couple of days ahead of time. So, they've had a chance to review it in preparation of the vote, and for those who were thinking, does she really expect that 1 can read that? The answer is no.

This will be posted on the website, this entire report will be posted on the website tomorrow for those of you who are squinting to read this as I speak. The general operating support grant, there were 151 applications. Of those 151 applications, there were 150 panels. The service organizations were separate, there were 10 applications and all of those were able to panel. So, we're talking a total of 160 total applications for GOS.

CHAIR VAN LEE: For the curious, a quick word on the one that was not paneled in the general list?

COMMISSIONER WHARTON: David? I'm not sure.

MR. MARKEY: One of the prerequisites for being in the general operating support grant is that organizations have to have received a grant from us in the preceding two years and this one Applicant had not, so that's why they were not included.

We made sure to roll them into the
project base grants.
CHAIR VAN LEE: So, they were not abandoned completely, they were just moved, it's important we make that note.

COMMISSIONER WHARTON: That's a really good point, we didn't abandon them, we just told them another grant to apply for. With the grant program of general operating support, it's been one of the most popular simply because we aren't dictating exactly what every dime has to be spent on.

## It's offered to nonprofit

 organizations, humanities and arts organizations. Its primary mission focus is one or more of the following areas, dance, design, folk, and traditional arts, fiction and nonfiction writing, media arts, music, theater or visual arts.The mission has submitted to the organization's most recent IRS Form 990 must include the words arts, humanities and/or arts or humanities discipline. More than 51 percent of both the organization's previous year's cash
expenses and programming services must be devoted to the arts and humanities, and/or arts education.

We had quite a bit of discussion about this. You'll see as we go down here as we get deeper into the report that we really had to focus in on money within that organization that's specifically spent on D.C. arts and humanities as opposed to overall organization.

So, when we had the Grants Committee meeting, we discussed whether or not to fund all of the Applicants, and we thought long and not that hard, really, because we're all aware that people are still having a lot of challenges. So, the decision from the Grants Committee was to, yes, fund all of the Applicants.

So, that's 160 Applicants basically. Number 2, D.C.-based arts and humanities budget versus total organizational budgets. We had to put a nuanced question in the applications before to actually define how much money is being spent toward arts and humanities programs within D.C.
proper.
Many organizations have large arts and humanities budgets but not all of that moneys is spent on D.C. programs. So, the decision was, yes, it has to be D.C.-based arts and humanities proper.

These are the propose budget cohorts and funding ranges. As you could see, there were eight general cohorts and then one for service.

You can see the number of organizations totaling 160, the funding ranges per cohort with the lowest being \$45,000 to $\$ 65,000$ and the highest being $\$ 180,000$ to \$200,000 in terms of funding range and that was based on the organization's budget and cash expenses.

The average awards range from $\$ 55,000$ to $\$ 190,000$ and the total budget by cohort based on averages is the $\$ 715,000$ to 1.67. The above cohorts represent the 9 panels that took place in April. Do the budget funding and cohort ranges work?

We had two meetings, quite frankly, to discuss all of the detail around this and the Grants Committee voted, yes, that they are so reflected. Four, proposed awards, the financials, FY21 financials reflect the first full year of the impact of COVID. Try to keep funding as close to last year even with fluctuating budgets.

These were some of the considerations that impacted our vote. Funding ranges, these are guides. As we applied formulas, some of the lower-scoring Applicants fell below and outside of the cohort range.

And the discussion that we had about D.C.-based arts and humanities budgets, because we are creating awards based on this, a handful of organizations were paneled within their budget-sized peers.

But when we had to peel back how much of it was actually D.C.-based, that flag for funding was in a lower range, it fell into a lower range. And you'll see that on the charts
that we highlighted there.
I'm going to go to the resolutions
last because I'd like to take everybody through the screen, so we'll come back to the resolutions after the report. Now, you understand what I said in the beginning about does she really expect me to be able to read that?

These are the Applicants' scores and ranking panels for Cohort 1 . That's way off the screen, $I$ don't know if anybody can see that. These were the organizations that were $\$ 40 \mathrm{k}$ to \$58k as we discussed in the first line.

Next cohort, Cohort 2, the score was \$63,000 to $\$ 120,000$ within that particular cohort. And you can see, the Applicants and the average scores. Again, all of this will be posted on our website tomorrow if you really want to get in-depth on this one.

Next cohort, please. This is the Applicant's scores and writing panel for Cohort number 3, whose budgets were $\$ 138,000$ to $\$ 244,000$. You can see there are 22 there with
our scores going from 68 down to 56.
Cohort 4, Applicants' scores and ranking for Panel Cohort 4 in the budget range of \$267,000 to 493 and you'll see there are two highlighted rows. Can you scroll up a little bit more, please? Keep going.

And those highlighted rows are funding range category based on the lower-D.C-based arts and humanities budget. We had to go back and reexamine the budgets to make sure they were D.C.proper arts and humanities. So, that's why those two look a little off when you apply the formula.

And for each of these slide pages you'll see that we have highlighted the ones that fell into those categories. Next page, please. Cohort 5, there were 18 there. Cohort 6. You can see the differences in the highlighted areas too. Cohort 7, Cohort 8, Cohort 9, which is the service organizations.

Let's go back to the first page, please. Reggie, do you want to open this up for questions first before I make the resolution?

CHAIR VAN LEE: I think that you have to move that they be --

COMMISSIONER WHARTON: For the vote, for the new question? Okay.

CHAIR VAN LEE: -- the second is not required.

COMMISSIONER WHARTON: Representing the Grants Committee and this is our recommendation, be it resolved that the Commission braces for the Committee's recommendation to fund all 160 FY 2023 general operating support applicants.

We resolve that the Commission agree to the Committee's recommendation that funding amounts be based on an Applicant's D.C.-based arts and humanities budget.

Be it resolved that the Commission agree to the Committee's recommended budget cohorts and funding ranges as presented and be it resolved that the Commission agrees to the Committee's recommendation of the 160 proposed general operating support grant awards for FY23
for a combined total amount of $\$ 19,570,616$.
CHAIR VAN LEE: Didn't need a second but thank you anyway. I raise the question, any comments, questions, concerns, issues?

COMMISSIONER FLOYD: This is Quanice. I just wanted to re-emphasize what you said earlier in the presentation, Gretchen, that this is the more popular grant program because there's less restrictions and as we move forward in our grant programming, we need to think about ways that we are not restricting our grantees.

So, I just wanted to re-emphasize that so that folks know that we hear them and the fact that this is such a large pool of candidates or grantees means that we have to move towards thinking about how we approach our grant programs.

COMMISSIONER WHARTON: Thank you, Quanice.

> COMMISSIONER HOPKINSON: I had a question about -- that's a very high number of people who are getting it. I'm wondering how
many organization started the application and didn't finish?

COMMISSIONER WHARTON: Go back to the very top, please. Patrick, keep going all the way up. Slide down just a teeny bit, right there. There were 151 applications, 150 were panels, the one that we talked about at the beginning and the service organizations, all 10 Applicants were able to panel.

The interesting thing about this award and just to bounce of what Quanice said, in FY 2021 we had 131 Applicants with 128 awards, FY 2022, 148 with 145 awards and going into 2023, 161. So, it was a popular grab for the reasons that Quanice mentioned.

CHAIR VAN LEE: If we approve this then the number of awardees will be at least 160 ? COMMISSIONER WHARTON: Yes.

CHAIR VAN LEE: But there's more coming potentially?

COMMISSIONER WHARTON: We hope so.
CHAIR VAN LEE: Does that answer your
question, Natalie?
COMMISSIONER BARRY: I have a question, how many more are getting them this year than got them last year? How many have we added to the list of grantees?

COMMISSIONER WHARTON: It went from 128 in 2021 to 145 in 2022 and we'll say 160 for 2023.

CHAIR VAN LEE: Is your question about new grantees?

COMMISSIONER BARRY: Yeah, new ones.
CHAIR VAN LEE: We have that information, don't we?

COMMISSIONER WHARTON: We do, because in the charts you'll see, some say new to GOS.

MR. MARKEY: And none of these are new grantees to CAH because they all have to have received at least one year or project-based grants in order to be eligible.

But there are a good a number of that are new to GOSs. As Gretchen said, on the chart we flagged on the right-hand side which ones were
new to the program and there's a lot of new, particularly smaller organizations.

COMMISSIONER WHARTON: Scroll up a little bit so that we can see as an example. Keep going to the next couple of pages.

MR. MARKEY: That first sheet of the smallest organizations, if you go up, Patrick, you'll see there's a whole bunch of -- usually, you'll see where it says FY 2021 GOS or FY 2022. If there's a gap or dash, that generally means that they're new and if you scroll over to the right-hand side --

COMMISSIONER WHARTON: Scroll over to the right, that's what everybody can't see. See where it says new to GOS, those all represent Applicants that are new to this bid. There are quite a few new ones.

MR. MARKEY: Just in terms of organizational budget size, about 50 percent of the 160 Applicants have budgets under \$500,000. There's a lot of smaller organizations in the mix.

COMMISSIONER WHARTON: Can we scroll back up to the first page, please?

CHAIR VAN LEE: Cora, does that answer your question?

COMMISSIONER BARRY: Yes, it does, thank you.

CHAIR VAN LEE: Other questions or comments?

COMMISSIONER BARRY: I could go deeper, where did it come from and all of that but that answers my general question.

COMMISSIONER WHARTON: Excuse me.
Cora, I know you can't see it on the screen but look at the package, it will break everything out by ward.

COMMISSIONER BARRY: I'm also looking for the demographic of race.

COMMISSIONER WHARTON: That's not on the chart that you have.

COMMISSIONER BARRY: I know, but are we collecting that data now?

COMMISSIONER WHARTON: We actually put
a front into our applications, the demographic overview document, and in that particular document, they, meaning the applicants, are to complete those questions.

David, how are we doing on that?
MR. MARKEY: We're bringing the Center for Effective Philanthropy on board to do it for us moving forward. They've a better system than we do and they'll do it for both organizations and individuals.

COMMISSIONER BARRY: And I just want to emphasize again, Gretchen, Ward 8 is not a reflection of how many African Americans or minority Brown or Black people getting it.

They're all over the city, so when you use Ward 8 as a barometer than you are skewing the outcome because, actually, Ward 8, Ward 7, Ward 5, Ward 4, Ward 6, 1 can go on, there are Black people, creatives, everywhere.

So, when $I$ ask that question $I$ 'm not really asking it as it relates to the geometry. I'm asking it in terms of the demographics.

And I think that's important.
COMMISSIONER WHARTON: I agree with
you.
COMMISSIONER BARRY: Equity is wrapped around that. The lack of equity is really just focused on that, so we can't just say, and I'm not saying that you are, I'm just saying in general we can't say we've got more people from Ward 8, that does not necessarily tell the story or solve the problem of access and equity for all minorities who need and who have been left out and who deserve it.

So, that's why I asked it that way.
COMMISSIONER WHARTON: You're
absolutely right. When I made the comment about you can see the wards, that's just so you can see the wards of the city is how they're broken down. Our demographic overview form was an attempt that we inserted because of the taskforce recommendation.

But I'm glad we found the professional organization to do it the right way, David, thank
you.
COMMISSIONER HOPKINSON: And when you say they're doing it, what do you mean? What are they doing?

MR. MARKEY: They'll design the survey instrument. So, for example, with the individual demographics overview form, it speaks to not only race and ethnicity but age, household income, just to get a sense of how valuable these dollars are to people in sustaining them.

It's harder to get data for organization, unless employees offer that information up. Understandably HR professionals are very reticent to start making assumptions about people and their race, ethnicity, orientation, that type of thing.

But the Center for Effective Philanthropy has been doing it with a lot of the organizations around the country. And so we feel that if we're going to get it this close to right, they're the ones that will help us do so. COMMISSIONER FITZPATRICK: David, this
is mission- critical, this is what we talked about in our taskforce well over a year ago and it was a priority outcome of that body of work.

So, I guess the question is how long will it take them to design the instrument and how long will it be before you feel confident that we're collecting good data? Because all of our equity hinges on our ability to track where the money is going.

MR. MARKEY: I agree with you, Maggie, because it helped the very rough and ready one we implemented for FY 2022, gave us some sort of baseline. They already have an instrument in place because they've done it with other entities but of course they would adapt for D.C.

And then they had a database that can slice and dice the data as needed.

So, it will be helpful, we imagine having the data back to us by the end of the calendar year, and it will help us, particularly as we move forward with not only funding but also some of the capacity-building initiatives to
actually identify what the need is among organizations and individuals.

COMMISSIONER FITZPATRICK: Excuse me for interrupting. So, your expectation is we would go into 2023 calendar year fully steeped in the data that they've offered us in terms of looking at the demographics and other data that we need to address our ongoing performance of equity?

MR. MARKEY: That is the hope, Maggie. Again, some data is harder to capture than others.

I mentioned with the individual demographic overview form, we included a question around household income with the caveat that the answer to this question was not going to limit people from receiving funds, it was really so we better understood who was benefitting or the households that were benefitting from the funds.

So, some folks are reticent to disclose data around orientation, gender identity, disability, that type of thing. So, as

I said, we think this organization, they're really experienced and they'll do a much better job than we can do doing it on our own.

COMMISSIONER FITZPATRICK: David, I do understand the sensitivity of that, however, if we are ultimately going to use one of our criteria for getting grants, your performance as it relates to access and as we said, equity, belonging and inclusion, then it sometimes comes out in the organizations themselves that are run by our CEO or CFO and you know it's primarily an organization, blah-blah-blah.

But equity as a guideline for grants will be a large part of it as something we really have to figure out.

Because we could be given a lot of money and we have done that consistently to people who have not shown any evidence of anything other than they are who they are, they take the money, there's no calculation or record of not only who they serve but more importantly who is doing the serving.

For instance, one of our favorite places, let's say for a theater. How many people are doing what on the Board behind the curtain, in front of the curtain, in management, C suites, or does that not matter?

And if that does not matter, then that ought to be part of what our equity criteria is when we're making decisions about who gets money. And you get points added or taken away based on that, I thought that's what we said we were going to do.

I know this organization is going to be much more specific about it but as we trudge along in our grant-giving, has that yet been instituted, Gretchen, that there are points for that and points that are taken away if you don't have it? Because we can start right there. COMMISSIONER WHARTON: That's absolutely what we talk about on the taskforce, Cora, and that's why we put that demographic overview form at the front end of our applications. Obviously, it wasn't this
sophisticated program but it did ask a lot of good questions and I'll be honest with you, I have not seen the results of the reporting even from that right now.

So, we probably need to start with that but that is absolutely why we wanted that as a front-end to the applications. David, do we have any results of that?

MR. MARKEY: Sure, so we shared the individual data with everyone a while back, I'm happy to reshare it.

We worked a ways out so going into FY2022, the GOS applications for FY2022 came in in February 2021 and so that was the first year that we really looked at the criteria and every program or almost every program had an equity criteria that was pretty heavily weighted with general operating support.

30 percent of the weight of an application was in equity. We've also for $F Y$ 2022 with interim reports and final reports, we've started asking those questions, so what are
you doing in the arena of equity and inclusion, access, that type of thing?

So, we really are starting to ask those questions and asking folks to submit plans around equity as well. But it does take some time.

CHAIR VAN LEE: I was going to say, if I may, can I make some observations and then make a commitment. We have started the process of looking at exactly what exactly everyone is speaking about but it is not mature yet.

We don't have the quality of data, we don't have the systems in there yet but in the panels that $I$ sent in in particular, those questions were asked upfront. So, to say that we've not impacted from the demographics anything is not true, but to say it's where it needs to be is also not true.

My commitment is, and I've added this to the list of other things that $I$ put that we have to focus on, both understanding the demographics, not just the geography, of our
grantees and our grants, what they get, as well as tracking that information and constantly improving upon it until we get to a point where we're really comfortable with it.

It is a journey that we are on and we're at the very beginning of the journey. So, I observed that we have done some but not all that we intend to do and my commitment is that we are going to get very serious about this and improve it over time.

COMMISSIONER FITZPATRICK: Quanice's hand has been up.

COMMISSIONER FLOYD: I just wanted to say I think we're very foundational in what we're doing with the equity, working and collecting the data on that, but one of the thoughts that $I$ did have is, one, is it possible for the conversations they're having with the Center for Effective Philanthropy?

I don't remember what organization you said. But for the Idea Committee to be a part of those conversations.

And then two, I think at some point we have to not base everything off of quantitative data and start looking at qualitative data. So, I know, David, we've incorporated some questions in the grants application but what are some ways that people can tell us the holistic story?

And the reason I want the holistic story is because people can bullshit us and I don't want us being bullshitted. People can bullshit their way through if they have the language. I was in a workshop earlier today and they said we're seeing people speak the language but we're not seeing the work being done.

So, I want us to be able to move forward and actually see that work and the impact being done because I'm tired of people bullshitting us.

CHAIR VAN LEE: To that point, Quanice, this is a journey and they're both qualitative and quantitative measures coming together to assess where we are and where we should go. But it is not done overnight but we
are pushing hard for it, and I make the commitment that we will continue to push this. COMMISSIONER HOPKINSON: I think one thing that would be good is if we saw that with these reports. If there's equity reports with the data that we've already been collecting since we voted to collect them. Can we do that? Is it possible for the next time we meet to see exactly where we are?

CHAIR VAN LEE: If I may, I'm going to ask the Ideas Committee to keep us honest, not to necessarily do the work but keep us honest on that and I'll be reporting this, as Natalie suggested. Maggie, I'm sorry?

COMMISSIONER FITZPATRICK: Yeah, I was going to actually ditto everything Quanice just said because $I$ was going to say a lot of what she just said.

But in addition to that, I think it might be beneficial, Chairman Van Lee, if you're open to it, to have the Center for Strategic Philanthropy just present their final
recommendation on the survey instrument that they proposed using so that we can all feel comfortable with it.

As Quanice has noted many times, you can do good things and bad things with data and we just want to make sure that it's working to our full benefit.

CHAIR VAN LEE: That's part of my asking the Idea Committee to keep us honest. So, yes, Quanice, if we could connect with that center and make sure before they go and do it that we understand the process, the approach, and have a level of comfort with it.

Because I've labored in those fields as a consultant for three decades and there are many ways the approach could be done, not all have the fidelity or follow the intent.

So, let's make sure we do that the right way with them, and David, if you could help us with that connection that would be great.

MR. MARKEY: Of course.
CHAIR VAN LEE: Good. This is longer
than anybody would like to but this is a very important topic, this is really important work we're doing and we're not going to have a meeting in August if you all agree to the vote.

So, we're going a little bit longer today, my apologies. Any other questions or can I now go to the roll call on these resolutions and masks?

COMMISSIONER WHARTON: Maggie, is your hand still up?

COMMISSIONER FITZPATRICK: No, it's coming down now.

CHAIR VAN LEE: I'm going to go through the resolution as it appears on the screen and vote aye or nay or not vote. Stacy?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Yes, I vote yes.
CHAIR VAN LEE: Cora?
COMMISSIONER BARRY: I abstain.
CHAIR VAN LEE: Maggie?
COMMISSIONER FITZPATRICK: Yes.
CHAIR VAN LEE: Quanice?
COMMISSIONER FLOYD: Yes.

CHAIR VAN LEE: Natalie?
COMMISSIONER HOPKINSON: Yes.
CHAIR VAN LEE: Mariah?
COMMISSIONER ROONEY: Yes.
CHAIR VAN LEE: Carla?
COMMISSIONER SIMS: Yes.
CHAIR VAN LEE: Hector?
COMMISSIONER TORRES: Yes.
CHAIR VAN LEE: Gretchen?
COMMISSIONER WHARTON: Yes.
CHAIR VAN LEE: That's eight yeses, no
nos, and one abstention and so it carries.
MS. WARTON: Thanks, everyone.
CHAIR VAN LEE: Thank you for the hard work of the Grants Committee to get us here, thank you all for the active participation in this conversation.

This is what we're here for, $I$ don't want to rubber-stamp stuff or just pass things through and I promise you the list that I made here, I commit to us getting through all these things, including this last discussion on the
demographics.
I was really living the equity story that we put forth that we'd like to live. The next item of business is the Public Art Committee report. Maggie?

COMMISSIONER FITZPATRICK: Thanks, Chairman Van Lee. I'll do this quickly, we have two items, the FY 2022 MURALSDC, just a point of note here, it is the 15th anniversary of this program, which is an exciting milestone.

The FY 2022 MURALSDC program will fund 12 muralists of the final roster recommended by the Public Arts Committee on our June 27, 2022 meeting. We are requesting as part of this to increase the program funding by $\$ 80,000$. That would take the total funding for FY 2022 for MURALSDC to \$180,000.

The program includes six walls secured by the program with our partner, the Department of Public Works. One wall is an alleyway that can accommodate seven artists and the other five locations are individual walls across the

District that range in size and complexity.
So, I won't go through all of this because you got this as a pre-read but the request is the addition of the $\$ 80,000$ to expand the grants in this area. Any questions?

CHAIR VAN LEE: Do we need to vote on this or if this just $F Y$ ?

MS. GLOVER: Actually, at the last meeting, if I may, at the last meeting, the Commission authorized up to $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ and so this is what we did.

CHAIR VAN LEE: Thank you. Any other discussion? Thank you for following through on the decision that was made by the Commission at the last meeting. Next on the agenda is new business.

> (Simultaneous speaking.)
> COMMISSIONER FITZPATRICK: Just
quickly, the other update, very exciting update, the Color of the Curve program, you will recall this is the school safety program.

And just a note here, I can't say
enough about this program in terms of if we have the opportunity to keep a single child safe in the District of Columbia in the crosswalks, that is a very worthy endeavor and so I'm very, very excited to let you all know that we have completed the program at four schools.

They include the Harris Elementary School and that, as you may recall, is the Future is Bright, Slow Down, by Adrienne Gaither. The Houston Elementary School, which is in NE force field by JD Deardourrf, the Plumber Elementary School in SE, Adventure to Hidden Treasure by Candice Taylor, and then the Drew Elementary School also in NE, grow your garden by Ashley J. Williams.

So, a big congratulations to the Staff and to our partners at the Department of Public Works, as well as all of the mentioned artists. It's incredible work that's being done.

> MS. GLOVER: If I could Maggie,

Deirdre has a quick show and tell so, Patrick,
maybe you can put that up and she can just go through this very quickly. Our partners were the Department of Transportation and --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MS. GLOVER: Our partners for this project was Department of Transportation, Art in the Right of Way program and the D.C. Public Schools. Deirdre is new to our Staff that this was her project for this year or for the short few months that she's been here.

So, Deirdre, it's late but if you could just go through very quickly the project?

MS. DARDEN: Yes, thank you, hi, everyone, I am Deirdre Darden, public art coordinator, and I just prepared a little synopsis of this year's Color of the Curve to show you the completed murals and some shots of the artist in process.

Patrick, if you can scroll down?
COMMISSIONER WHARTON: You might just want to jump to the pictures because of the lateness of the day.

MS. DARDEN: This program started on May 5th with a kick-off event for Bike to School day, where each artist went to their assigned school and we had a partnership with Positive Chalk D.C. and chalk was placed outside of the schools.

As school was letting out, kids talked with the artists about different designs that they wanted, things they loved about their school, things they thought about that made them feel safe, what wouldn't make them feel safe. And that was a good chance for the artist to connect with students and their schools.

And these are some pictures of kids drawing in chalk outside of their schools. Next slide. As part of the process, there was a technical assistant. These are ground murals which are a new landscape for a lot of artists.

There's a particular way to clean up the street before you paint it so we had a technical assistant as part of the grant process and here she is, Chelsea, showing the four
artists, just some tips on how to prepare the ground before they paint them.

Next slide. This is our first artist, JD Deardourff, and as mentioned, he was partnered with Houston Elementary School.

He was actually able to go inside the classroom and have a session with the students where they colored on a page they created and talked about different colors and designs they would like.

And the next slide will show his completed murals. So, you could see how he was able to almost directly translate what the students wanted to see in their mural onto the mural outside of their school. And the title for this is Force Field. Next slide.

Then we had Adrienne Gaither, these are some action shots of when the mural is being installed outside of CDW Harris. And in the next slide you'll see that the colors which she chose, bright colors, really related to a sculpture that already existed outside of the school.

So, it's kind of a nice match aethetically and the students were also able to contribute to what they wanted to see in those colors, what that meant to them. This is a finished mural and all of these schools are in Ward 7 and they're about five minutes from each other.

DDOT is the one that identifies, based on the highest need, the intersections and the schools that get selected for the program. If you want to advance to the next slide? This is Candace Taylor and her team.

Each artist had between one and four assistants to help install the mural and to do it in a timely manner. So, this is Candace's team keeping cool and they were using stencils in their design for Plumber Elementary. And the next slide will show the completed mural, it's very tropical and fun.

And then lastly, we have Ashley J. Williams working with her team and using her stencils outside of Drew Elementary. If you go
to the next slide, this is the completed mural and this is really fun.

The ram is the mascot of Drew so that's why they wanted to see the ram there and a lot of the kids really love music and wanted bright colors.

And if you go to the next slide, the unique thing about this here is that we put up QR codes next to the murals so passersby are able to hover over the QR code and that will take them to DDOT's area website which then has a dedicated page for each artist.

So, you were showing it and then it went away but if Patrick is able to bring that back up on the screen, this is the Color of the Curve school safety website, this is this main landing page. If you scroll down a little bit there's a map that shows last year's mural and then this year's murals.

So, the cluster in NE is this year FY 2022, all the schools, the one that's closes to the Columbia Heights was FY 2021. And then if
you want to show Ashley's page, when you hover -MS. GLOVER: If you hover over one of the artist names, you'll get the deep dive and the information about that particular artist. CHAIR VAN LEE: That's really good work.

MS. GLOVER: And then it links back to our website as well and we're working on what that's going to look like more.

CHAIR VAN LEE: Tremendous congrats on this. I remember when this notion first came up and for it to end like this, I'll be where we are now. Congratulations and thanks to the Staff and everyone who made this happen, this is amazing.

COMMISSIONER HOPKINSON: I was going to say the same thing because I remember Ebony Rose Thompson, the Ward 7 education person, coming in and raising this and it was just last fall. So, never say the Arts Commission can't work fast. That's fast. CHAIR VAN LEE: We can turn it on the dime.

MS. GLOVER: We're slowing it down for next year so it's going to be an ongoing program and the schools are even looking to assign a teaching artist to also work with the teaching artists in each of the individual schools. So, it's growing and it's growing as capacity can stand.

Right now I know about four schools is what we can control, what we can manage so we're going to do another round starting a little bit earlier and then if we need to bring in a contract or something else to expand it to more schools, we'll do that too.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
But it's very work-intensive and timeconsuming.

CHAIR VAN LEE: I was going to say thanks for rallying to get it done this quickly because our answer could have been, okay, we'll do that next year but we got it done and now we're going to find a way to do it on an ongoing basis.

So, thank you. This is what this Commission needs to work and wants to work and if we hear an issue, we treat it with urgency and we do what we can now and we do more and better later.

We don't wait until four decimal points where we have it perfect before we do anything. So, thank you for that. I'm sorry, Hector?

COMMISSIONER TORRES: Is there any contribution momentarily from any of these agencies, DDOT and Department of Education?

MS. GLOVER: Yes, DDOT does have the financial responsibility of installing the curve extensions as part of their programming so that's their financial contribution and with D.C. Public Schools, they are now looking at bringing on a teaching artist who can help coordinate and corral the teaching artists who will be working with our artists.

But the monetary funding and the grants all come from us.

COMMISSIONER TORRES: It would be great to get more money from them.

MS. GLOVER: A lot of these agencies don't have -- when we partnered with Public Art, they had the real estate and we had the money so that's how it works. We have money but we don't have access to the real estate.

So, it may not be a cash one-to-one match, a lot of times we try to ask that but in instances like this, the cost of them putting in these curve extensions, which they're doing anyway, is not a match going into the dollars. But we wouldn't have that canvas to paint on if they weren't making the financial commitment to put in these curve extensions.

CHAIR VAN LEE: I'm going to move us along because we are overtime. If you will allow me, I will move us on because we are short on time. Let's go to the new business. Hector's going to give us an update on recent efforts to collaborate with the Office of the Deputy Mayor on planning and development.

And again, Hector, since the time is short, $I$ would ask you to be very, very brief, really just give us a heads up on what's going on there.

COMMISSIONER TORRES: On July 18th we have a meeting actually with John Falciccio who is the Head of DEMPED and he offered us some great suggestions and ideas as to how we could go about realizing something that we are really very concerned about.

And in fact, this is shared very much by Gretchen, who was part of this meeting, as well as Reggie. And actually, the whole nation, the end goal is basically how do we create or fund a live-work space, particularly in the creative communities, that we're trying to develop within the District.

Many of you are familiar there has been funding for Ward 8, the Anacostia area for an arts district that already is pretty well live and somewhat thriving. But this new funding will give them amplitude to be able to expand.

And what we're trying to do and what Gretchen has arranged for us to meet on August 9th to be exact to have a discussion as to how this project could also include low-income housing, or should I say housing that is a livework space, that can be utilized by bringing in artists with affordable housing.

Now, the key there and the emphasis is also to create and arts economy, which has viability plus also with support, growth within the community and people within the community can participate in this housing proposal we're trying to do.

Now here's an area where we were talking earlier about excess funds. We've got to bring some cookies to this particular picnic if we're going to be listened to and participate.

So, we've got to find a way to bring money, resources to bear so that we can support the growth within this community and Anacostia in Ward 8 to be able to expand this so it can realize this particular goal.

Gretchen is going to be talking to them, frankly we're trying to discover some schools, some buildings that haven't been developed, that are light in essence and can use the development money to create this housing and work space that is so key for an arts economy. Gretchen, do you want to add anything to this because I know it's your pet project as well, very much so. Any words from you? COMMISSIONER WHARTON: Our pet project, nothing to add.

CHAIR VAN LEE: I'm adding this DEMPED initiative to my growing list of things we need to focus on and from my perspective, this checks at least three boxes in what I've been calling our collective agenda, the first is supporting artists in a way that we have not been able to support artists in the past.

The second is advancing this notion of the creative economy and what that really means in a tangible way and the third is the recognition of our interdependence with the

Mayor's Office and being more engaged collaboratively in partnership with the Mayor's Office.

This is an important initiative for us both for those who we are trying to serve and for what we are trying to do as a Commission and moving into that level of collaboration with the Mayor's Office.

Thank you, Hector, and thank you, Gretchen, who have been pushing this for a while and finally we're going to get it to some real fruition.

> If I may, the Commission has
traditionally cancelled the August meeting while the Agency focuses on the panel process and preparing grant recommendations to be voted on in September. Is there any objection to cancelling the August meeting?

Hearing no objection, the August meeting is cancelled and the next meeting of the Commission will be September 17, 2022. Is there any other new business?

COMMISSIONER TORRES: Yes, I may. At this meeting, John Falciccio to present so that he can give us some updates as to how some of this funding is being used.

CHAIR VAN LEE: To be clear, John is going to present at our September meeting? He's going to come to our September meeting to present, which is also advancing the interaction between the Commission and the Mayor's Office so we're happy about that.

Thank you, all. Again, the next regular meeting of the Commission will be held Monday, September 17, 2022. The time is now 7:25 p.m., this meeting is adjourned, thank you. Have a nice summer, everyone.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 7:25 p.m.)
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